UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,092	05/10/2007	Paul Oreste Gioia	60838.000610	4356
21967 HUNTON & W	7590 10/31/200 YILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			SOROUSH, ALI	
1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/588,092	GIOIA, PAUL ORESTE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALI SOROUSH	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ju</u>	ılv 2008					
	action is non-final.					
/_						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 18-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 18-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	rd.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10232008</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Receipt

Applicant's response filed on 07/24/2008 to the Office Action mailed 01/24/2008 is acknowledged.

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-14 and 17 are cancelled and claims 15, 18, 23, 27, 28 and 30 are currently amended. Therefore, claims 15, 16, and 18-30 are currently pending examination for patentability.

Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from the previous Office Action are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set of rejections and/or objections presently being applied to the instant application.

2. The rejection of claims 15, 16, and 18-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aven (European Patent Application EP 1025757 A1, Published 08/09/2000) in view of Hei et al. (US Patent 6593283 B2, Published 07/15/2003) as evidenced by Sealed Air (MSDS Instapak Port Cleaner, Rev. 006, 03/1005) is maintained.

Applicant Claims

Applicant claims an emulsifiable concentrate comprising an active ingredient being a dinitroaniline compound, emulsifier or emulsifier mixture, a solvent, and a cosolvent having the following formula R₁O-CO-(CH₂)_n-CO-OR₂.

Determination of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

Application/Control Number: 10/588,092

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

Aven teaches "Crop protection emulsifiable concentrate containing defoaming agents" (See title). "Emulsifiable concentrate (EC) formulations conventionally contain an active ingredient, one or more surfactants which act as emulsifiers upon dilution of the EC with water, and a water immiscible solvent. Typical solvents for conventional EC formulations are aromatic hydrocarbons such as xylene, Shellsol A or Solvesso 200." (See page 2, paragraph 0001). "The relative amount of solid active ingredient soluble in EC increases by about 40 to 60% when 10 to 15% cosolvent is incorporated into the formulation." (See page 8, paragraph 0062). "It has surprisingly been found that the stable EC formulations containing at least one pesticidal crop protection active compound, at least one non polar organic solvent, optionally one polar aprotic cosolvent, an emulsifiable surfactant system, and at least one defoaming and foam breaking agent ... show clearly reduced foaming behavior upon dilution with water." (See page 3, paragraph 0015). "Preferably, the pesticide is selected from the group consisting of herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, bactericides, nematicides, algicides, molluscicides, virucides, compounds inducing resistance into plants, biological control agents such as viruses, bacteria, nematodes, fungi and other microorganisms, repellents of birds and animals, and plant growth regulators, or mixtures thereof." (See page 3, paragraph 0018). "Preferred herbicides are the compounds selected from the group consisting of: pendimethalin and trifularin". (See page 4, paragraphs 0027 and 0028). "The suitable organic solvents in which the pesticide is dissolved are, as a rule, water-immiscible solvents. They are preferrably selected from the group consisting of aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic hydrocarbons, carboxylic acid esters, alcohols,

Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

dialkylene glycol mono- or dialkyl ethers and esters of plant oils or mixtures thereof" (See page 5, paragraph 0034). "The water-miscible aprotic solvents used as cosolvents are useful to increase the amount of active ingredient and adjuvant in the EC." (See page 3, paragraph 0038). "In another preferred embodiment of the invention the cosolvent consists essentially of one or more, preferably two or three dimethyl dicarboxylates of formula H₃CO-CO-(CH₂)_n-CO-OCH₃ wherein n is 2, 3, or 4. A particularly preferred cosolvent is a mixture consisting of glutaric acid dimethyl ester, succinc acid dimethyl ester, and adipic acid dimethyl ester, most preferred DBE ..." (See page 3, paragraph 0039 and 0040). "The emulsifying surfactant system enabling the EC to form an oil-in-water emulsion when the formulation is added to water is a mixture of two or more surfactants, at least one of which is an anionic surfactant (a) and at least one of which is a nonionic surfactant (b). (See page 5, paragraph 0041). "Most preferred anionic surfactants are the sodium, calcium or triethyl ammonium salts of dodecyl benzene sulfonic acid ..." (See page 6, paragraph 0042). "Examples of nonionic surfactants are nonylphenol polyethoxy ethanols, castor oil polygylcol ethers, polyadducts of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide, tributyl phenoxy polyethoxy ethanol, octyl phenoxy polyethoxy ethanol and tristyryiphenol ethoxylates ... Preferred are ethoxylated fatty acids such as castor or canola oil ethoxylates ... such as ... UKanil®2507(castor oil ethoxylate) ..." (See page 6, paragraphs 0043 and 0044). "Preferred embodiments of the invention are as follows: (i) An EC containing – 10 to 900 g/L, in particular, 100 to 850 g/L of at least one pesticidal crop protection active compound, - 50 to 800 g/L, in particular, 100 to 300 g/L of at least one non-polar organic Art Unit: 1616

solvent, -0 to 400 g/L, in particular, 0 to 250 g/L of at least one polar aprotic cosolvent, -20 to 500 g/L, in particular, 40 to 450 g/L of the emulsifying surfactant system, and -0.1 to 20 g/L, in particular 0.2 to 10 g/L of at least one defoaming or foam breaking agent ..." (See page 7, paragraph 0058). In preferred example an EC formulation is prepared containing: 240 g/L Pendimethalin, 50 g/L Phenylsulfonat CA100, 50 g/L Ukanil 2507, 264 g/L Synperonic 91-6, 198 g/L DBE, 1 g/L Fluowet PP, and to 1L Agsol EX12. (See page 14, example 10). Phenylsulfant CA 100 is a mixture of calcium salt of branched dodecyl benzene sulfonate, Genopol X-060, and Solvesso 200. (See page 9, paragraph 0069). For the foregoing reasons the instant emulsifiable concentrate is anticipated.

Ascertainment of the Difference Between Scope the Prior Art and the Claims (MPEP §2141.012)

Aven does not teach the co-solvent being a dissobutyl adipate or a mixture of dissobutyl adipate, dissobutyl glutarate, and dissobutyl succinate. This deficiency is cured by the teachings of Hei et al.

Hei et al. teaches an antimicrobial composition comprising a diluting solvent (water), an antimicrobially-active solvent, an optional cosolvent, surfactant, or additional antimicrobial surfactant. (See abstract). The preferred solvents include dibasic esters such as DBE and DBE-IB. (See column 7, Lines 1-27). The composition is suitable for application to growing or harvested plant material including leaves, stems, tubers, roots, seeds, and the like. (See column 12, Lines 13-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/588,092 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

Sealed Air teaches that DBE-IB is composition comprising 55-70% Diisobutyl glutarate, 20-30% Diisobutyl succinate, and 10-20% Diisobutyl adipate. (See page 1, Section 2).

Finding of Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Aven with Hei et al. One would have been motivated to do so because Hei et al. teaches that a composition useful for plant protection wherein the solvents DBE and DBE-IB are suitable alternatives for each other. Therefore, the instant emulsifiable concentrate would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant argues that the combined teachings of Aven and Hei et al. do not make obvious instant composition, because applicants have found unexpectedly that the instant composition exhibit reduced crystallization. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but found not to be persuasive. Applicant has only shown that the addition of a co-solvent having the following formula R₁O-CO-(CH₂)_n-CO-OR₂ would reduce crystallization. Since, both DBE and DBE-IB both fall under the aforementioned co-solvent formula it would be expected that either one would result in reduced crystallization. Therefore, the rejection of claims 15, 16, and 18-30 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Soroush whose telephone number is (571) 272-9925. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30am to 5:00pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/588,092 Page 8

Art Unit: 1616

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ali Soroush Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1616

> /Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616